arXiv:1604.05403v1 [math.RT] 19 Apr 2016

Topological classification of sesquilinear forms: reduction to the nonsingular case

Carlos M. da Fonseca
Department of Mathematics, Kuwait University
Safat 13060, Kuwait, carlos@sci.kuniv.edu.kw

Tetiana Rybalkina *Vladimir V. Sergeichuk*
Institute of Mathematics, Tereshchenkivska 3, Kiev, Ukraine
rybalkina_t@ukr.net sergeich@imath.kiev.ua

Abstract

Two sesquilinear forms $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\Psi : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ are called topologically equivalent if there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^n$ (i.e., a continuous bijection whose inverse is also a continuous bijection) such that $\Phi(x, y) = \Psi(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^m$. R.A. Horn and V.V. Sergeichuk in 2006 constructed a regularizing decomposition of a square complex matrix A; that is, a direct sum $SAS^* = R \oplus J_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{n_p}$, in which S and R are nonsingular and each J_{n_i} is the n_i-by-n_i singular Jordan block. In this paper, we prove that Φ and Ψ are topologically equivalent if and only if the regularizing decompositions of their matrices coincide up to permutation of the singular summands J_{n_i} and replacement of $R \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times r}$ by a nonsingular matrix $R' \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times r}$ such that R and R' are the matrices of topologically equivalent forms $\mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^r \to \mathbb{C}$. Analogous results for bilinear forms over $\mathbb{C}$ and over $\mathbb{R}$ are also obtained.

Keywords: Topological equivalence; Regularizing decomposition; Bilinear and sesquilinear forms

MSC: 15A21; 15B33; 37J40

1 Introduction

In 1974, Gabriel [12] reduced the problem of classifying bilinear forms over an arbitrary field $\mathbb{F}$ to the problem of classifying nonsingular bilinear forms. In this paper, we take an analogous step towards the topological classification of bilinear and sesquilinear forms, reducing it to the nonsingular case.

Unlike the problem of topological classification of forms, which has not yet been considered, the problem of topological classification of linear operators has been thoroughly studied. Kuiper and Robbin [22, 23] gave a criterion for topological similarity of real matrices without eigenvalues that are roots of 1. Their result was extended to complex matrices in [4]. The problem of topological similarity of matrices with an eigenvalue that is a root of 1 was also considered by these authors [22, 23] as well as by Cappell and Shaneson [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], and by Hambleton and Pedersen [13, 14]. The problem of topological classification was studied for orthogonal operators [19], for affine operators [1, 3, 4, 10], for Möbius transformations [25], for chains of linear mappings [24], for matrix pencils [11], for oriented cycles of linear mappings [26], and for quiver representations [20].

A pair (U, Φ) consisting of a vector space U and a bilinear form Φ is called by Gabriel [12] a *bilinear space*. Similarly, we call a pair (U, Φ) a *sesquilinear space* if Φ is a sesquilinear form. A pair (U, Φ) is *singular* or *nonsingular* if Φ is so. Two spaces (U, Φ) are (V, Ψ) are *isomorphic* if there exists a linear bijection $\varphi : U \to V$ such that

$$\Phi(x, y) = \Psi(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)), \qquad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{1}$$

The *direct sum* of pairs is the pair

$$(U, \Phi) \oplus (V, \Psi) := (U \oplus V, \Phi \oplus \Psi).$$

A pair is *indecomposable* if it is not isomorphic to a direct sum of pairs with vector spaces of smaller sizes.

Let vector spaces U and V be also topological spaces. For example, they are subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^m := \mathbb{C} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}$ (m summands) with a usual topology. We say that (U, Φ) and (V, Ψ) are *topologically equivalent* if there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi : U \to V$, i.e., a continuous bijection whose inverse is also a continuous bijection, such that (1) holds.

The main result of the paper is the following theorem, which is proved in Section 3.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. Let $(\mathbb{F}^m, \Phi)$ and $(\mathbb{F}^n, \Psi)$ be two bilinear or two sesquilinear spaces that are topologically equivalent. Suppose that*

$$(\mathbb{F}^m, \Phi) = (U_0, \Phi_0) \oplus (U_1, \Phi_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (U_r, \Phi_r)$$
$$(\mathbb{F}^n, \Psi) = (V_0, \Psi_0) \oplus (V_1, \Psi_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (V_s, \Psi_s),$$

where (U_0, Φ_0) and (V_0, Ψ_0) are nonsingular and the other summands are indecomposable and singular. Then $m = n$, $r = s$, (U_0, Φ_0) and (V_0, Ψ_0) are topologically equivalent, and, after a suitable reindexing, each (U_i, Φ_i) is isomorphic to (V_i, Ψ_i).

The equality $m = n$ in Theorem 1 holds due to the following statement:

$$\text{if } \mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}\} \text{ and } \mathbb{F}^m \text{ is homeomorphic to } \mathbb{F}^n, \text{ then } m = n \tag{2}$$

(see [2, Corollary 19.10] or [21, Section 11]).

Let us reformulate Theorem 1 in a matrix form. Each bilinear or sesquilinear space $(\mathbb{F}^m, \Phi)$ can be given by the pair $(\mathbb{F}^m, A)$, in which A is the matrix of Φ in the standard basis. Changing the basis, we can reduce A by congruence transformations SAS^T with nonsingular $S \in \mathbb{F}^{n\times n}$ if Φ is bilinear, or by *congruence transformations SAS^* with nonsingular $S \in \mathbb{F}^{n\times n}$ if Φ is sesquilinear.

Each square matrix M over $\mathbb{F}$ is congruent (resp., *congruent) to a direct sum

$$R \oplus J_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{n_p}\,, \qquad \text{with a nonsingular } R, \tag{3}$$

in which the matrix R is uniquely determined by M up to congruence (resp., *congruence) and the n_i-by-n_i singular Jordan blocks J_{n_i} are uniquely determined up to permutation; see Theorem 3(a). Horn and Sergeichuk [16] called the sum (3) the *regularizing decomposition* of M, $J_{n_1}, \dots, J_{n_p}$ the *singular summands*, and the matrix R the *regular part* of M. They gave an algorithm for constructing (3) by M.

We say that two matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{F}^{n\times n}$ are *topologically congruent* (resp., *topologically *congruent*) if the bilinear (resp., sesquilinear) spaces $(\mathbb{F}^n, A)$ and $(\mathbb{F}^n, B)$ are topologically equivalent.

The next theorem is the matricial analogue of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Two square matrices over $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}\}$ are topologically congruent (resp., *congruent) if and only if their regularizing decompositions coincide up to topological congruence (resp., *congruence) of their regular parts and permutations of direct summands.*

The regularizing decomposition (3) is the first step towards reducing a matrix to its canonical form under congruence and *congruence. Canonical forms under congruence and *congruence over any field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic not 2 were given by Sergeichuk [27] (see also [18]) up to classification of quadratic and Hermitian forms over finite extensions of $\mathbb{F}$. They were latter simplified for the case of complex matrices by Horn and Sergeichuk [15]. An alternative proof that the canonical matrices from [15] are indeed canonical was given by Horn and Sergeichuk [17]. These authors gave the proof only for nonsingular matrices, which was sufficient due to the uniqueness of regularizing decomposition (Theorem 3(a)).

2 The regularizing algorithm

In this section, we recall the regularizing algorithm for matrices under congruence and *congruence, which was constructed by Horn and Sergeichuk [16]. An analogous regularization algorithm for matrix pencils was constructed by Van Dooren [28].

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be any field with a fixed involution $a \mapsto \tilde{a}$, which can be the identity. We say that a form is **sesquilinear* (we use a five-pointed star) if it is sesquilinear with respect to this involution. The transformation $A \mapsto SAS^\star$ of $A \in \mathbb{F}^{n\times n}$, in which $S \in \mathbb{F}^{n\times n}$ is nonsingular and $S^\star := \tilde{S}^T$, is called the **congruence transformation*. We remark that *congruence transformations over $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ are *congruence transformations if the involution $a \mapsto \tilde{a}$ is the complex conjugation, and they are congruence transformations if the involution is the identity.

We denote by 0_n the zero matrix of size $n \times n$, $n \geqslant 0$, assuming that when $n = 0$ we formally have an empty square matrix.

Let A be a singular square matrix over $\mathbb{F}$. We reduce it by *congruence transformations as follows:

$$A \longmapsto SA = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \} \\ \scriptstyle m_1 \end{matrix} \qquad \begin{matrix} (S \text{ is nonsingular and the rows of} \\ A_1 \text{ are linearly independent}) \end{matrix} \tag{4}$$

$$\longmapsto \left[\begin{array}{c} A_1 \\ \hline 0 \end{array}\right] S^\star = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} B & C \\ \hline 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{array}\right] \quad (S \text{ is the same and } B \text{ is square}) \tag{5}$$

$$\longmapsto (S_1 \oplus I_{m_1}) \left[\begin{array}{c|c} B & C \\ \hline 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{array}\right] (S_1^\star \oplus I_{m_1}) = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} D & E & C_1 \\ F & A_2 & 0 \\ \hline \multicolumn{2}{c|}{0} & 0_{m_1} \end{array}\right] \begin{matrix} \} m_2 \\ \\ \} m_1 \end{matrix} \tag{6}$$

in which D and A_2 are square, S_1 is nonsingular, and the rows of C_1 are linearly independent. The nonnegative integers m_1, m_2 and the matrix A_2 are used in the following theorem.

Theorem 3 ([16])**.** *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field with involution, which can be the identity.*

(a) *Each square matrix A over $\mathbb{F}$ is *congruent to a direct sum*

$$R \oplus J_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{n_p}\,, \quad \text{with } R \text{ nonsingular,}$$

in which the matrix R is determined by A uniquely up to *congruence, and the $n_i \times n_i$ singular Jordan blocks J_{n_i} are determined uniquely up to permutation.

(b) *Given a singular square matrix A over $\mathbb{F}$. Apply the reduction* (4)–(6) *to A and get m_1, m_2, A_2. Apply this reduction to A_2 and get m_3, m_4, A_4, and so on until obtain a nonsingular A_{2t}:*

$$A \Longrightarrow \begin{cases} A_2 \Longrightarrow \begin{cases} A_4 \Longrightarrow \cdots \Longrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{nonsingular } A_{2t} \\ m_{2t-1}, m_{2t}. \end{cases} \\ m_3, m_4 \end{cases} \\ m_1, m_2 \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Then $m_1 \geqslant m_2 \cdots \ldots \geqslant m_{2t}$ and A is *congruent to

$$A_{2t} \oplus J_1^{[m_1-m_2]} \oplus J_2^{[m_2-m_3]} \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{2t-1}^{[m_{2t-1}-m_{2t}]} \oplus J_{2t}^{[m_{2t}]},$$

in which $J_i^{[m]} := J_i \oplus \cdots \oplus J_i$ (m summands, in particular, $J_i^{[0]} = 0_0$). Thus, A_{2t} is the regular part of A.

(c) *If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$, then the reduction* (7) *can be realized by unitary or orthogonal transformations, respectively, which improves the numerical stability of the algorithm.*

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1 is formulated for forms on $\mathbb{C}^n$ or $\mathbb{R}^n$, but it is more convenient to prove it for forms on unitary or Euclidean spaces since their subspaces are also unitary or Euclidean, respectively. A unitary space is also called a complex inner product space. We consider unitary and Euclidean spaces as topological spaces.

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$, and let

$$\Phi : U \times U \to \mathbb{F}, \qquad \Phi' : U' \times U' \to \mathbb{F}$$

be two bilinear or two sesquilinear forms on unitary spaces if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, or two bilinear forms on Euclidean spaces if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. We suppose that these forms are topologically equivalent, i.e., there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi : U \to U'$ such that

$$\Phi(x, y) = \Phi'(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)), \qquad \text{for all } x, y \in U. \tag{8}$$

Let A and A' be matrices of Φ and Φ' in orthonormal bases. Applying to A the reduction (4)–(6) in which the transforming matrices are unitary if $F = \mathbb{C}$ or orthogonal if $F = \mathbb{R}$, we obtain m_1, m_2 and A_2. Applying it to A', we obtain m_1', m_2', and A_2'. We need to prove that

$$m_1 = m_1', \quad m_2 = m_2', \quad \text{and } A_2 \text{ and } A_2' \text{ are topologically } {}^\star\text{congruent} \tag{9}$$

(that is, A_2 and A_2' are topologically congruent if the forms Φ and Φ' are bilinear; they are topologically *congruent if the forms are sesquilinear).

Let S be a unitary matrix if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or an orthogonal matrix if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ such that SA has the form given in (4). Then

$$SAS^\star = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} B & C \\ \hline 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{array}\right] \quad \text{(the rows of } [B\,C] \text{ are linearly independent)} \tag{10}$$

is the matrix of Φ in a new orthonormal basis.

The basis vectors that correspond to the second horizontal strip of (10) generate the vector space

$$L := \{x \in U \mid \Phi(x, U) = 0\},$$

which is called the *left kernel*, or the left radical, of Φ. Denote by L' the left kernel of Φ'. If $x \in L$, then $\Phi'(\varphi(x), U') = \Phi(x, U) = 0$ by (8), hence $\varphi(L) \subset L'$. The inclusion $\varphi(L) \supset L'$ holds too since, for each $x' \in L'$ and setting $x := \varphi^{-1}(x')$ we have

$$\Phi(x, U) = \Phi'(\varphi(x), \varphi(U)) = \Phi'(x', U') = 0\,,$$

and so $x \in L$. Thus,

$$\varphi(L) = L', \tag{11}$$

which proves the first equality in (9) due to (2).

Let S_1 be a unitary matrix if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or an orthogonal matrix if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$S_1 C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{(the rows of } C_1 \text{ are linearly independent)}.$$

Then

$$(S_1 \oplus I_{m_1}) \left[\begin{array}{c|c} B & C \\ \hline 0 & 0_{m_1} \end{array}\right] (S_1^\star \oplus I_{m_1}) = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} D & E & C_1 \\ F & A_2 & 0 \\ \hline \multicolumn{2}{c|}{0} & 0_{m_1} \end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l} \}m_2 \\ \\ \}m_1 \end{array} \tag{12}$$

is the matrix of Φ in a new orthonormal basis.

Since the basis vectors that correspond to the columns of C_1 generate L, the basis vectors that correspond to the second and third horizontal strips of the right hand side matrix in (12) generate the vector space

$$K := \{x \in U \,|\, \Phi(x, L) = 0\}.$$

Analogously, define $K' := \{x' \in U' \,|\, \Phi'(x', L') = 0\}$.

By (8) and (11), for each $x \in K$ we have

$$\Phi'(\varphi(x), L') = \Phi'(\varphi(x), \varphi(L)) = \Phi(x, L) = 0\,,$$

and consequently $\varphi(K) \subset K'$. The inclusion $\varphi(K) \supset K'$ holds too since for each $x' \in K'$ and $x := \varphi^{-1}(x')$, we have

$$\Phi(x, L) = \Phi'(\varphi(x), \varphi(L)) = \Phi'(x', L') = 0\,,$$

and so $x \in K$. Thus,

$$\varphi(K) = K'.$$

By (2),

$$m_2 = \dim U - \dim K = \dim U' - \dim K' = m_2'\,,$$

which proves the second equality in (9).

Since the basis in U is orthonormal, the basis vectors that correspond to the second horizontal strip of the right hand side matrix in (12) generate the vector space

$$L_K^\perp := \{x \in K \,|\, (x, L) = 0\},$$

which is the orthogonal complement of L in K. Analogously, write $L_{K'}'^\perp := \{x' \in K' \,|\, (x', L') = 0\}$. Then $K = L_K^\perp \oplus L$ and $K' = L_{K'}'^\perp \oplus L'$.

Define the maps that are the compositions of three maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi:&\quad L_K^\perp \xrightarrow{\ \iota\ } L_K^\perp \oplus L \xrightarrow{\ \varphi\ } L_{K'}'^\perp \oplus L' \xrightarrow{\ \pi'\ } L_{K'}'^\perp \\ \psi':&\quad L_{K'}'^\perp \xrightarrow{\ \iota'\ } L_{K'}'^\perp \oplus L' \xrightarrow{\ \varphi^{-1}\ } L_K^\perp \oplus L \xrightarrow{\ \pi\ } L_K^\perp \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where ι, ι' are the injections and π, π' are the orthogonal projections.

Lemma 4. *The map $\psi : L_K^\perp \to L_{K'}'^\perp$ is a homeomorphism and $\psi^{-1} = \psi'$.*

Proof. By (8), for all $x, y \in U$

$$\Phi'(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = \Phi(x, y) = \Phi(x + L, y) = \Phi'(\varphi(x + L), \varphi(y)),$$

hence $\Phi'(\varphi(x + L) - \varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = 0$. Since φ is a surjection, each element of U' is represented in the form $\varphi(y)$, and so $\varphi(x + L) - \varphi(x) \subset L'$. Thus, $\varphi(x + L) \subset \varphi(x) + L'$, which implies

$$\varphi(x + L) = \varphi(x) + L', \qquad \text{for all } x \in U \tag{14}$$

because φ is a surjection.

Let $x \in L_K^\perp$ and $\varphi(x) = x' + l'$, where $x' \in L_{K'}'^\perp$ and $l' \in L'$. By (14), $\varphi(x + L) = x' + l' + L' = x' + L'$, and so there exists $l \in L$ such that $\varphi(x + l) = x'$. By (13),

$$\begin{aligned} \psi :&\ x \overset{\iota}{\longmapsto} x + 0 \overset{\varphi}{\longmapsto} x' + l' \overset{\pi'}{\longmapsto} x' \\ \psi' :&\ x' \overset{\iota'}{\longmapsto} x' + 0 \overset{\varphi^{-1}}{\longmapsto} x + l \overset{\pi}{\longmapsto} x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\psi'\psi = 1$. Analogously, $\psi\psi' = 1$, and so $\psi^{-1} = \psi'$. Since $\iota, \iota', \pi, \pi', \varphi, \varphi^{-1}$ are continuous, ψ and ψ' are continuous too, which proves that ψ is a homeomorphism. □

For all $x, y \in L_K^\perp$, we write $\varphi(x) = \psi(x) + l'$ and $\varphi(y) = \psi(y) + l''$, in which $l', l'' \in L'$. It follows from the zeros in the matrix (12) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x, y) &= \Phi'(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \\ &= \Phi'(\psi(x) + l', \psi(y) + l'') \\ &= \Phi'(\psi(x), \psi(y)) + \Phi'(\psi(x), l'') + \Phi'(l', \psi(y)) + \Phi'(l', l'') \\ &= \Phi'(\psi(x), \psi(y)). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Let

$$\Phi_2 : L_K^\perp \times L_K^\perp \to \mathbb{F}, \qquad \Phi_2' : L_{K'}'^\perp \times L_{K'}'^\perp \to \mathbb{F}$$

be the restrictions of Φ and Φ'. By Lemma 4 and (15), these forms are topologically equivalent. Moreover, A_2 and A_2' are their matrices in the orthonormal bases. We have proved (9).

In the same way, we apply to A_2 and A_2' the reduction (4)–(6) in which the transforming matrices are unitary if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or orthogonal if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$. We obtain

the numbers $m_3 = m'_3, m_4 = m'_4$ and topologically equivalent forms Φ_4, Φ'_4 with matrices A_4, A'_4 in orthonormal bases (see (7)). We repeat this reduction until obtain topologically equivalent forms Φ_{2t}, Φ'_{2t} with nonsingular matrices A_{2t}, A'_{2t}.

By Theorem 3(b), there exist bases of the spaces U and U', in which the matrices of Φ and Φ' have the form

$$A_{2t} \oplus J_1^{[m_1-m_2]} \oplus J_2^{[m_2-m_3]} \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{2t-1}^{[m_{2t-1}-m_{2t}]} \oplus J_{2t}^{[m_{2t}]}$$
$$A'_{2t} \oplus J_1^{[m_1-m_2]} \oplus J_2^{[m_2-m_3]} \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{2t-1}^{[m_{2t-1}-m_{2t}]} \oplus J_{2t}^{[m_{2t}]}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

References

[1] J. Blanc, Conjugacy classes of affine automorphisms of $\mathbb{K}^n$ and linear automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^n$ in the Cremona groups, Manuscripta Math. 119 (2) (2006) 225–241.

[2] G.E. Bredon, Topology and Geometry, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[3] T.V. Budnitska, Classification of topological conjugate affine mappings, Ukrainian Math. J. 61 (2009) 164–170.

[4] T. Budnitska, Topological classification of affine operators on unitary and Euclidean spaces, Linear Algebra Appl. 434 (2011) 582–592.

[5] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Linear algebra and topology, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., New Series 1 (1979) 685–687.

[6] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Nonlinear similarity of matrices, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., New Series 1 (1979) 899–902.

[7] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Non-linear similarity, Ann. of Math. 113 (2) (1981) 315–355.

[8] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, Non-linear similarity and linear similarity are equivariant below dimension 6, Contemp. Math. 231 (1999) 59–66.

[9] S.E. Cappell, J.L. Shaneson, M. Steinberger, J.E. West, Nonlinear similarity begins in dimension six, Amer. J. Math. 111 (1989) 717–752.

[10] W. Ephrämowitsch, Topologische Klassifikation affiner Abbildungen der Ebene, Mat. Sb. 42 (1) (1935) 23–36.

[11] V. Futorny, T. Rybalkina, V.V. Sergeichuk, Regularizing decompositions for matrix pencils and a topological classification of pairs of linear mappings, Linear Algebra Appl. 450 (2014) 121–137.

[12] P. Gabriel, Appendix: degenerate bilinear forms, J. Algebra 31 (1974) 67–72.

[13] I. Hambleton, E.K. Pedersen, Topological equivalence of linear representations for cyclic groups. I, Ann. of Math. 161 (2005) 61–104.

[14] I. Hambleton, E.K. Pedersen, Topological equivalence of linear representations for cyclic groups. II, Forum Math. 17 (2005) 959–1010.

[15] R.A. Horn, V.V. Sergeichuk, Congruence of a square matrix and its transpose, Linear Algebra Appl. 389 (2004) 347–353.

[16] R.A. Horn, V.V. Sergeichuk, A regularization algorithm for matrices of bilinear and sesquilinear forms, Linear Algebra Appl. 412 (2006) 380–395.

[17] R.A. Horn, V.V. Sergeichuk, Canonical forms for complex matrix congruence and *congruence, Linear Algebra Appl. 416 (2006) 1010–1032.

[18] R.A. Horn, V.V. Sergeichuk, Canonical matrices of bilinear and sesquilinear forms, Linear Algebra Appl. 428 (2008) 193–223.

[19] W.C. Hsiang, W. Pardon, When are topologically equivalent orthogonal transformations linearly equivalent?, Invent. Math. 68 (2) (1982) 275–316.

[20] S. López de Medrano, Topological aspects of matrix problems, in: Representations of algebras (Puebla, 1980), pp. 196–210, Lecture Notes in Math., 903, Springer, Berlin, New York, 1981.

[21] J. McCleary, A First Course in Topology: Continuity and Dimension, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.

[22] N.H. Kuiper, J.W. Robbin, Topological classification of linear endomorphisms, Invent. Math. 19 (2) (1973) 83–106.

[23] J.W. Robbin, Topological conjugacy and structural stability for discrete dynamical systems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 78 (1972) 923–952.

[24] T. Rybalkina, V.V. Sergeichuk, Topological classification of chains of linear mappings, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012) 860–869.

[25] T. Rybalkina, V.V. Sergeichuk, Topological classification of Möbius transformations, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 193 (2013) 769–774.

[26] T. Rybalkina, V.V. Sergeichuk, Topological classification of oriented cycles of linear mappings, Ukrainian Math. J. 66 (2015) 1575–1581.

[27] V.V. Sergeichuk, Classification problems for system of forms and linear mappings, Math. USSR-Izv. 31 (3) (1988) 481–501.

[28] P. Van Dooren, The computation of Kronecker's canonical form of a singular pencil, Linear Algebra Appl. 27 (1979) 103–140.